

11.9 and 11.11. EVIDENCE BASE:

Should NURSES deliver the maintenance dose of magnesium sulphate to (a) prevent eclampsia and refer to a higher facility, and (b) treat eclampsia and refer to a higher facility?

Problem: Poor access to treatment for eclampsia

Option: Nurses delivering loading dose of magnesium sulphate to

prevent and treat eclampsia

Comparison: Care delivered by other cadres or no care

Setting: Community/primary health care settings in LMICs with poor

access to health professionals

	CRITERIA	JUDGEMENT	EVIDENCE	COMMENTS AND QUERIES
	Are the anticipated desirable effects large?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes varies no yes	One systematic review searched for studies that assessed the effects of nurse-led primary care compared to care that was given by primary care doctors (Laurant 2012). However, this review did not identify any studies that specifically assessed the effects of nurses delivering magensium sulphate. We are therefore unable to draw any conclusions about the desirable or undesirable effects of this intervention.	Note: A World Health Organisation guideline recommends that for settings where it is not possible to administer the full magnesium sulphate regimen, the use of magnesium sulphate loading dose, followed by immediate transfer to a higher-level health facility, is recommended for women with severe pre-eclampsia and eclampsia (very low
E OPTIONS	Are the anticipated undesirable effects small?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies no yes	Indirect evidence: The same review identified a number of studies, mostly from high income settings, where nurses were compared to doctors for the delivery of other types of interventions. The review suggests that nurse care may improve several health outcomes while it may make no difference to other outcomes. However, the certainty of this evidence varies.	
BENEFITS & HARMS OF THE	What is the certainty of	Very Low Moderate High No direct Varies	Outcomes Impacts Certainty of the anticipated effect	quality evidence, weak recommendation) (WHO, 2011). The guideline makes no reccommendation
	the anticipated effects?	low evidence	Patient health For some outcomes, benefits in favour of nurses. For other outcomes, no differences between nurses and doctors woderate	regarding (a) which cadre should deliver the loading or maintenance
	5.10000		Patient mortality No differences between nurses and primary care doctors Moderate	doses for preventing and treating eclampsia, and (b) what should be
	Are the desirable	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies	Process of care Mixed results: some studies showed differences between nurses and primary care doctors in process of care, e.g. nurses gave more advice to patients, while others showed no differences	done when immediate transfer to a higher-level facility is not possible following the loading dose.
	effects large relative to the undesirable	no yes	Patient satisfaction and preferences Patients were significantly more satisfied with nurses compared with primary care doctors. Also, patients preferred significantly moderate more often to see a nurse rather than a primary care doctor.	
	effects?		Annex: page 6 (Laurant 2012)	
RESOURCE USE			Main resource requirements	
			Resource Settings in which nurses already provide other care	
	Are the resources	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies	Training E.g. 2 weeks of practice-based training for nurses to diagnosis eclampsia and pre-eclampsia	
	required	no yes	Supervision and monitoring Regular supervision by senior midwife or doctor	
	small?		Supplies Magnesium sulphate, calcium gluconate, IV equipment	
			Referral Transportation to a centre where comprehensive emergency obstetric care (CeMOC) is available	



	CRITERIA	JUDGEMENT	EVIDENCE	COMMENTS AND QUERIES
	Is the incremental cost small relative to the benefits?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies yes	Uncertain as there is no direct evidence on effectiveness. Indirect evidence from the review referred to above (Laurant 2012) suggests that, compared to doctor-led care: Overall, studies showed lower costs for nurse-led care Consultation length was longer for nurses For the frequency of consultations, results were mixed For most studies there were no differences in the use of healthcare services and prescriptions	
ACCEPTABILITY	Is the option acceptable to most stakeholders?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies no yes	A systematic review of doctor-nurse substitution (Rashidian 2012) did not identify any studies that evaluated the acceptability of the loading dose of magnesium sulphate for eclampsia when delivered by nurses. We are therefore uncertain about the acceptability of this intervention to key stakeholders. For other maternal and child health interventions, the same review suggests that: Nurses may be motivated to offer advanced care by increased recognition and job satisfaction (moderate certainty evidence) Recipients may regard nurses as more accessible and better at listening and caring than doctors (moderate certainty evidence). However, some recipients may have concerns about nurses' competence and willingness to provide high quality care compared to doctors (low certainty evidence). In addition, for tasks that are more "medical" in nature, recipients may prefer doctors over nurses (low certainty evidence) Doctors were generally satisfied with the contribution of nurses to maternal and child health care, although some concerns were raised (low certainty evidence). Doctors may welcome the contribution of nurses where it reduces doctors' workloads (moderate certainty evidence). Doctor acceptance may be influenced by level of nurse experience (low certainty evidence). Doctors may be comfortable with nurse prescribing, believing that it improves continuity of care (low certainty evidence). However, an increase in nurse autonomy may negatively affect or produce negative reactions among other professions, including doctors and midwives, who for instance may be unwilling to relinquish final responsibility for patient care. A lack of clarity about nurse roles and responsibilities in relation to other health workers may also be a challenge (low certainty evidence)	
FEASIBILITY	Is the option feasible to implement?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes varies yes □ □ □ □ □ □	The intervention requires relatively few supplies (Magnesium sulphate, calcium gluconate, IV equipment). In addition, it is simple to deliver and requires only a small amount of training. Regular supervision needs to be in place, and adequate referral to a higher level of care for further management may also be necessary. However, systematic review (Rashidian 2012) suggests that nurses may be unprepared or not adequately trained or supervised when they are given advanced and substitution roles (low certainty). In some settings, changes to norms or regulations may be needed to allow nurses to prescribe and deliver the loading dose of magnesium sulphate. Annex: page 43 (Rashidian 2012)	