

2.1. EVIDENCE BASE:

Should AUXILIARY NURSES administer oxytocin to prevent postpartum haemorrhage, using a standard syringe?

Problem: Poor access to prevention of PPH

Option: Auxiliary nurses administering oxytocin to prevent PPH, using a

standard syringe

Comparison: Care delivered by other cadres or no care

Setting: Community/primary health care settings in LMICs with poor

access to health professionals

	CRITERIA	JUDGEMENT	EVIDENCE	COMMENTS AND QUERIES
BENEFITS & HARMS OF THE OPTIONS	Are the anticipated desirable effects large?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies no yes		
	Are the anticipated undesirable effects small?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies No yes	A systematic review searched for studies that assessed the effects of midlevel providers, including auxiliary nurses, in improving the delivery of health care services (Lassi 2012). However, this review did not identify any studies that assessed the effects of using auxiliary nurses for this intervention. We are therefore unable to draw any conclusions about the desirable or undesirable effects of this intervention.	
	What is the certainty of the anticipated effects?	Very Low Moderate High No direct low Varies evidence	Indirect evidence: A review of <u>lay health worker</u> programmes (Lewin 2012) examined the effects of packages of care, including one trial in which lay health workers injected sick neonates with antibiotics using a standard syringe. The trial did not report any adverse effects. Overall, the review suggests that these packages of care may lead to a reduction in neonatal (moderate certainty evidence) and child mortality (low certainty evidence).	
	Are the desirable effects large relative to the undesirable effects?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies no yes □ □ □	Annex : Page 10 (Lewin 2012 – Table 2)	
USE	Are the resources required small?		Main resource requirements	
			Resource Settings in which auxiliary nurses already provide other care	
		No Dubable Haratic Dubable Vos Vosice	Training 1 week of practice-based training in injection techniques, safe delivery and in diagnosing and managing postpartum haemorrhage.	
JRCE		No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies yes	Supervision and monitoring Regular supervision by midwife or nurse	
RESOURCE USE			Supplies Oxytocin, syringes, sterile solution, robust supply chain	
œ.			Referral Transportation to a centre where comprehensive emergency obstetric care (CeMOC) is available	



	CRITERIA	JUDGEMENT	EVIDENCE	COMMENTS AND QUERIES
	Is the incremental cost small relative to the benefits?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies no yes	Uncertain as there is no direct evidence on effectiveness	
ACCEPTABILITY	Is the option acceptable to most stakeholders?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies no yes	We are not aware of any systematic reviews that considered the acceptability of auxiliary nurse interventions. We are therefore uncertain about the acceptability of this intervention to key stakeholders. Indirect evidence: Three systematic reviews (Glenton, Khanna 2012; Glenton, Colvin 2012, Rashidian 2012) explored factors that influence the success of task-shifting to lay health workers and nurses. These reviews suggest that the acceptability of such programmes to key stakeholders may be mixed: Nurses may be motivated to take on new tasks by increased recognition and job satisfaction (moderate certainty evidence) (Rashidian 2012) Recipients, LHWs and other health workers may find the delivery of drugs and vaccines by LHWs through compact prefilled autodisable devices (CPADs) such as Uniject to be acceptable, although the importance of training and supervision is emphasised (low certainty evidence). Some LHWs voiced concerns about possible social or legal consequences if something went wrong. These concerns were at least partly addressed through support and supervision (low certainty evidence) (Glenton, Khanna 2012) Activities that demand that the LHW is present at specific times, for instance during labour and birth, lead to irregular and unpredictable working conditions. At least one study shows that this may have direct implications for LHWs' expectations regarding incentives (low certainty evidence) (Glenton, Colvin 2012) There may be a number of challenges with referral of women in labour, including logistics and poor treatment of women at facilities (moderate certainty evidence) (Glenton, Colvin 2012) Annex: page 43 (Rashidian 2012); page 33 (Glenton, Khanna 2012); page 26 (Glenton, Colvin 2012)	
FEASIBILITY	Is the option feasible to implement?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies no yes	Significant additional work may be needed to add this intervention to the tasks of auxiliary nurses. It is likely to require changes in regulations; and significant changes to drug supplies and training. Significant training and supervision provided by skilled health cadres would likely be needed. However, systematic reviews of lay health worker, nurse and midwife programmes suggest that sufficient training and supervision is often lacking (Glenton, Colvin 2012; Colvin 2012; Rashidian 2012). Annex: page 43 (Rashidian 2012); page 20 (Colvin 2012); page 26 (Glenton, Colvin 2012)	