

11.5. EVIDENCE BASE:

Should MIDWIVES administer corticosteroids to pregnant women in the context of preterm labour to improve neonatal outcomes?

Problem: Poor access to treatment

Option: Midwives administering corticosteroids to pregnant women in the

context of preterm labour

Comparison: Care delivered by other cadres or no care

Setting: Community/primary health care settings in LMICs with poor access

to health professionals

Are the anticipated desirable effects large? No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies yes A systematic review searched for studies that assessed the effects of midlevel providers, including midwives, in improving the delivery of health care services (Lassi 2012). However, this review did not identify any	
Are the anticipated undesirable No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies draw any conclusions about the desirable or undesirable or undesirable studies that assessed the effects of midwives administering corticosteroids. We are therefore unable to draw any conclusions about the desirable or undesirable effects of this intervention.	
What is the certainty of the anticipated effects? What is the certainty of the anticipated effects? Indirect evidence: The review (Lassi 2012) did identify a number of other studies, all from high income settings, in which midwives delivered antenatal, intrapartum and postpartum care, although it is not clear precisely what services this care included. The review suggests that midwife-led care may improve several health outcomes while it may make no difference to other outcomes. However, the certainty of this evidence varies. Similar findings were seen in another systematic review on the effects of midwife care (Hatem 2008) Annex: page 4 (Lassi 2012)	
Are the desirable effects large relative to the undesirable effects? Are the desirable effects? Are the desirable value of the undesirable effects large relative to the undesirable effects? Are the desirable value	
Main resource requirements	
Resource Settings in which auxiliary nurse midwives already provide other care	
Are the resources required small? No Probably no probably yes varies yes No Probably no probably yes varies yes No Probably no probably yes varies yes No Probably no probably no probably yes varies yes No Probably no probably no probably no probably yes varies yes No Probably	
required small? Supervision and monitoring Regular supervision by midwife or doctor	
Supplies Corticosteroids	
Referral Transportation to a centre where comprehensive emergency obstetric care (CeMOC) is available	



	CRITERIA	JUDGEMENT	EVIDENCE	COMMENTS AND QUERIES
	Is the incremental cost small relative to the benefits?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies no yes	Uncertain as there is no direct evidence on effectiveness	
ACCEPTABILITY	Is the option acceptable to most stakeholders?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies no yes □ □ □ □	A systematic review of task-shifting in midwifery programmes (Colvin 2012) did not identify any studies that evaluated the acceptability of corticosteroids when administered by midwives. We are therefore uncertain about the acceptability of this intervention to key stakeholders. Indirect evidence: For other midwife-delivered interventions, the same review suggests the following: Mothers and midwives are more likely to accept task-shifting initiatives if they increase the midwives' ability to provide more holistic and continuous care (moderate certainty evidence) Midwives may also be motivated by being "upskilled" as it can potentially lead to increased status, promotion opportunities and increased job satisfaction (moderate certainty evidence) However, midwives may not readily accept a mode of care that is technology-focused and that views pregnancy as risky and uncertain (moderate certainty evidence). They may also be less likely to accept tasks that increase the involvement of others in clinical care. In addition, midwives may be concerned about the increased liability that may accompany new tasks (moderate certainty evidence) Doctors may be skeptical about the extension of midwifery roles in obstetric care, although doctors who worked closely with midwives tended to have better attitudes towards them (low certainty). Alack of clarity in roles and responsibilities between midwives and other health worker cadres, as well as status and power differences may also lead to poor working relationships and 'turf battles' (moderate certainty evidence) Annex: page 20 (Colvin 2012)	
FEASIBILITY	Is the option feasible to implement?	No Probably Uncertain Probably Yes Varies no yes □ □ □ □	The intervention requires some supplies (drugs and simple diagnostic tools). Also, adequate referral to a higher level of care for further management may also be necessary. While training, clinical experience and supervision are needed, systematic reviews of lay health worker, nurse and midwife programmes suggest that sufficient training and supervision is often lacking (Glenton, Colvin 2012; Rashidian 2012; Colvin 2012). In some settings, changes to norms or regulations may be needed to allow midwives to prescribe and administer drugs. Annex: page 26 (Glenton, Colvin 2012); page 20 (Colvin 2012); page 43 (Rashidian 2012)	